

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON

CASE NO.: 08cr997 LAB
 TITLE: USA v. Vargas-Perez, et al
 E-FILED 8/6/08 DOCKET NO.: 38
 DATE:
 DOCUMENT TITLE: Objection to Presentence Investigation Report
 DOCUMENT FILED Thomas Sims for Defendant Saul Vargas-Perez
 BY:

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

x	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/document(s) are not timely
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1	Missing table of contents and/or table of authorities
	Civ. L. Rule 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
X	Crim. L. Rule 32.1	OTHER: Objections to the Presentence Report must be filed 18 days prior to Sentencing.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

x	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk STRIKE the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.	

DATED: 8/13/08

BY: TLW
 Chambers of Larry Alan Burns
 United States District Judge